

# Enriching the Assessment of Suicidal Ideation:

## Learning From Digital Studies

Philippe Courtet, MD, PhD, and Enrique Baca-García, MD, PhD

There is currently a resurgence of interest in the study of suicidal ideation (SI), a main risk factor for suicidal behavior.<sup>1</sup> This resurgence may be explained by the net increase in the incidence of SI in the population during the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in young people.<sup>2</sup> Also, the research interest in new drugs capable of treating SI effectively and immediately indicates that this is a genuine therapeutic target, with the potential to reduce the incidence of suicidal acts.<sup>3</sup> Finally, with a view to prevention, several theories have focused in recent years on distinguishing between the processes involved in the generation of SI and those involved in the acts themselves, leading to question the “transition from ideas to acts.”<sup>4</sup> At the same time, recent US guidelines stated that whereas the screening of depression is recommended in primary care, such is not the case for SI.<sup>5</sup> This lack of recommendation has been justified by the fact that neither the validity nor the usefulness of detecting suicidal ideas were evidence based. This set of concerns raises questions about how to assess SI and its relevance to improving care with a view to preventing suicidal behavior. Indeed, it is unclear whether the clinicians or the patients themselves are the most reliable reporters of suicidal risk. When comparing clinicians’ reports to 649 patients’ self-reports, a majority of clinicians classified the patients as containing no death-related ideas, although on self-report the patient did state that they had no desire to live.<sup>6</sup> In a study of a large cohort of bipolar patients,<sup>7</sup> SI was

reported concordantly in both self- and clinician-rated questionnaires in only 26% of the cases, whereas it was discordant in three-fourths of the cases, mainly in cases in which SI was endorsed by the patient but not the provider. The issue is that patients for whom SI remains undetected by the clinician may remain at risk of suicide when they themselves report SI. In fact, consistent with the *DSM-5*, the main driver for the clinician to detect SI is the severity of the depressive episode, often leading to the health care provider’s failing to assess and detect SI in less severely depressed patients.

Recent literature, including studies made possible by the rise of digital health, enables investigators to raise several questions to explore more precisely the clinical assessment of SI. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) using smartphones allows the capture of everyday experiences of individuals through repeated measurements in naturalistic environments, potentially providing a more reliable evaluation than is usually done in clinical practice.

Using such a technique, studies reported several patterns of SI based on its severity and variability in time,<sup>8</sup> and the variability of suicidal thoughts has now emerged as a promising phenotype to identify a specific subgroup of patients. In a large study,<sup>9</sup> a third of suicidal patients presented with high SI variability, which was related to more frequent suicide attempts. In a series of studies, Drs Oquendo and Stanley and colleagues<sup>10,11</sup> found that the highly variable pattern of SI

could be a trait, associated with the propensity to experience SI when exposed to stressful life events and rooted in childhood trauma, impulsive aggression, emotional reactivity, and a preexisting lower serotonin transporter (5-HTT) function. The variability of SIs has been previously reported to be a better predictor of the occurrence of an act than severity alone.<sup>12</sup> Then, EMA studies led to a new hypothesis in which the different manifestations of suicidal thoughts could help characterize subgroup of patients at risk of suicide who could deserve specific and personalized therapeutic approaches such as cognitive-behavioral therapy or safety planning.<sup>13</sup>

The evaluation of SI could be used not only as a warning sign for a subsequent act but also to better understand patients’ suffering and their needs. In fact, SI could play a role in emotional regulation. An EMA study of 105 adults showed that the onset of suicidal thoughts was followed by a reduction in negative affect, but when suicidal thoughts were thus used as a form of affect regulation, this predicted later SI, leading to its persistence.<sup>14</sup> As proposed by the authors, the identification of such a process could lead to using the safety plan to suggest alternative emotional regulation strategies to avoid resorting to SI. Strikingly, this conception is supported by a previous study<sup>15</sup> in which we investigated the modulating effect of the executive function of decision making on the association between psychological pain and SI. Decision making is used for making choices under conditions

of uncertainty in daily life, and its impairment (favoring short-term over long-term choices) has been consistently associated with suicidal behavior (and not SI). On the other hand, psychological pain has been associated with both suicidal behavior and SI.<sup>15</sup> An association between psychological pain and SI was reported in patients who had good decision making, while in patients with deleterious decision making the level of SI did not change depending on the pain. One may suggest that, like non-suicidal self-harm that contributes to regulating emotions and alleviating intolerable thoughts, SI may be a mechanism for coping with psychological pain. SI may act as a possible pain-relieving mechanism, and decision-making focused on long-term rewards appears to be more adaptable, increasing the likelihood of suicidal ideas but not actions. This hypothesis is also supported by the description of cases of subjects requiring assisted suicide for their psychic suffering. While the request for assisted suicide has been accepted, several subjects did not resort to it, indicating that they were relieved by this possibility to escape the unbearable suffering.

Let's end with another example of the possibilities offered by the precise evaluation of SI. Many clinicians are very well informed about the qualities of using the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) for assessing suicide risk. However, even though several studies have shown that the scores on this scale are associated with the prediction of suicidal behavior, the positive predictive value is so low that we have to rely on current recommendations: "risk assessment tools should not be used to predict future suicide or repetition of self-harm...risk assessment should focus on the person's needs..."<sup>16</sup> A recent study<sup>17</sup> reported that the C-SSRS composite score, summing frequency, duration, controllability, deterrent factors, and reasons, was a predictor of suicidal behavior. Rather than being used for prediction, this

information could be used for the acute management of patients, seeking to reinforce the deterrent measures when building the safety plan.

In conclusion, recent literature calls for emphasizing the assessment of SI, but not with the illusory aim of detecting subjects who will carry out the act, as less than one-third of suicidal patients would actually act. These studies provide arguments in favor of the necessary finesse of suicidal assessment to better characterize the suicidal process, to better characterize subgroups of patients and subtypes of suicidal behavior, and ultimately to better manage the suicidal crisis thanks to the richness of the clinical evaluation. As such, it is also possible that the assessment of psychological pain, beyond its predictive capacity for suicidal events, can also enrich the patient's apprehension in a more empathetic approach.<sup>18</sup> Indeed, in a 1-year follow-up study,<sup>19</sup> psychological pain was a significant predictor of suicidal event, after adjusting for baseline depression and past history of suicide attempt. Interestingly, neither physical pain nor SI was a predictor for a suicidal event, but psychological pain was.

Digital health techniques provide very interesting avenues of study, particularly to improve the prediction of short-term suicidal acts. Indeed, Barrigon et al<sup>20</sup> reported a weekly risk model for suicidal behavior with an area under the curve of 77%. But we should not be naive about the usefulness of these techniques. EMA could improve the metacognitive processes of people at risk of suicide, hypothetically improving self-detection of suicidal risk and facilitating patient empowerment with their own resources or with ecological momentary intervention. On the other hand, an inadequate development of this technology may fail to lead to patients' improving their metacognitive abilities and may lead them to delegating these functions to EMA and AI, producing an effect of infantilization and dependence.<sup>21</sup>

## Article Information

**Published Online:** January 17, 2024.

<https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.23com15205>

© 2024 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

*J Clin Psychiatry* 2024;85(1):23com15205

**Submitted:** November 29, 2023; accepted November 29, 2023.

**To Cite:** Courtet P, Baca-García E. Enriching the assessment of suicidal ideation: learning from digital studies. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2024;85(0):23com15205.

**Author Affiliations:** IGF, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, Montpellier, France (Courtet); Department of Emergency Psychiatry and Acute Care, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France (Courtet); Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Jimenez Diaz Foundation, Madrid, Spain (Baca-García); Department of Psychiatry, Madrid Autonomous University, Madrid, Spain (Baca-García); CIBERSAM (Centro de Investigación en Salud Mental), Carlos III Institute of Health, Madrid, Spain (Baca-García).

**Corresponding Author:** Philippe Courtet, MD, PhD, Université de Montpellier, CHRU Montpellier, Montpellier, France 34090 (philippe.courtet@umontpellier.fr; philippecourtet@gmail.com).

**Relevant Financial Relationships:** Dr Courtet has been a consultant to or has received honoraria or grants from Janssen Cilag and Ethypharm Digital Therapy. Dr Baca-García has been a consultant to or has received honoraria or grants from Janssen Cilag, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Pfizer, Servier, and Sanofi; is founder of eB2; and designed MEMind.

**Funding/Support:** Supported by CIBER-Consorcio Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red (código CIBER), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and Unión Europea-European Regional Development Fund (ISCIII PI20/01555); by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación/a Agencia Estatal de Investigación MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and "NextGenerationEU"/PRTR (TED2021-13120B-I00); by the Madrid Regional Government S2022/BMD-7216, AGES 3-CM); and by Fundació La Marató de TV3 (202226-31) (Dr Baca-García).

## References

1. Jobes DA, Joiner TE. Reflections on suicidal ideation. *Crisis*. 2019;40(4):227–230.
2. Trafford AM, Carr MJ, Ashcroft DM, et al. Temporal trends in eating disorder and self-harm incidence rates among adolescents and young adults in the UK in the 2 years since onset of the COVID-19 pandemic: a population-based study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2023;7(8):544–554.
3. Witt K, Potts J, Hubers A, et al. Ketamine for suicidal ideation in adults with psychiatric disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis of treatment trials. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2020;54(1):29–45.
4. Klonsky ED, Pachkowski MC, Shahnaz A, et al. The three-step theory of suicide: description, evidence, and some useful points of clarification. *Prev Med*. 2021;152(Pt 1):106549.
5. Barry MJ, Nicholson WK, Silverstein M, et al; US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for depression and suicide risk in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2023;329(23):2057–2067.
6. Vera-Varela C, Manrique Mirón PC, Barrigón ML, et al; MEMind Study Group. Low level of agreement between self-report and clinical assessment of passive suicidal ideation. *Arch Suicide Res*. 2022;26(4):1895–1910.
7. Nobile B, Belzeaux R, Aouizerate B, et al; FondaMental Advanced Centres of Expertise in

- Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD) Collaborators. Clinical characteristics associated with discrepancies between self- and clinician-rated suicidal ideation in patients with bipolar disorder (FACE-BD cohort). *Psychiatry Res.* 2023;321:115055.
8. Kleiman EM, Turner BJ, Fedor S, et al. Digital phenotyping of suicidal thoughts. *Depress Anxiety.* 2018;35(7):601–608.
  9. Bonilla-Escribano P, Ramírez D, Baca-García E, et al. Multidimensional variability in ecological assessments predicts two clusters of suicidal patients. *Sci Rep.* 2023;13(1):3546.
  10. Bartlett EA, Zanderigo F, Stanley B, et al. In vivo serotonin transporter and 1A receptor binding potential and ecological momentary assessment (EMA) of stress in major depression and suicidal behavior. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2023;70:1–13.
  11. Oquendo MA, Galfalvy HC, Choo TH, et al. Highly variable suicidal ideation: a phenotypic marker for stress induced suicide risk. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2021;26(9):5079–5086.
  12. Witte TK, Fitzpatrick KK, Joiner TE Jr, et al. Variability in suicidal ideation: a better predictor of suicide attempts than intensity or duration of ideation? *J Affect Disord.* 2005;88(2):131–136.
  13. Bernanke JA, Stanley BH, Oquendo MA. Toward fine-grained phenotyping of suicidal behavior: the role of suicidal subtypes. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2017;22(8):1080–1081.
  14. Coppersmith DDL, Millgram Y, Kleiman EM, et al. Suicidal thinking as affect regulation. *J Psychopathol Clin Sci.* 2023;132(4):385–395.
  15. Alacreu-Crespo A, Olié E, Seneque M, et al. Decision-making skills moderate the relationship between psychological and physical pain with suicidal behavior in depressed patients. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2019;88(3):190–191.
  16. The University of Manchester. National Confidential Inquiry into Suicide and Safety in Mental Health. <https://sites.manchester.ac.uk/ncish/>. Accessed November 15, 2023.
  17. Mayes TL, Carmody T, Rush AJ, et al. Predicting suicidal events: a comparison of the Concise Health Risk Tracking Self-Report (CHRT-SR) and the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). *Psychiatry Res.* 2023;326:115306.
  18. Pompili M. Persistent suicidal ideation in major depressive disorder patients: still in need of empathic understanding. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2022;37(6):279–280.
  19. Alacreu-Crespo A, Cazals A, Courtet P, et al. Brief assessment of psychological pain to predict suicidal events at one year in depressed patients. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2020;89(5):320–323.
  20. Barrigon ML, Romero-Medrano L, Moreno-Muñoz P, et al. One-week suicide risk prediction using real-time smartphone monitoring: prospective cohort study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2023;25:e43719.
  21. Oudin A, Maatoug R, Bourla A, et al. Digital phenotyping: data-driven psychiatry to redefine mental health. *J Med Internet Res.* 2023;25:e44502.

**Scan Now**



Cite and Share  
this article at  
[Psychiatrist.com](https://Psychiatrist.com)